Do you feel it would be a problem if the Windows installer for libSBML was only provided in a version incorporating libxml2 as the underlying XML parser (instead of also having additional separate installer versions incorporating other parsers, in particular Xerces and/or Expat)? Response Response Percent Count No 100.0% 31 Yes 0.0% 0 If yes, please elaborate, especially about which other XML parser is important to you: 4 answered question 31 skipped question 0

If <b>yes</b> , please elaborate, especially about which other XML parser is important to you:		
1	though i have to admit we are still using the expat one at the time, but we can change	Nov 26, 2009 3:18 AM
2	I would prefer instead to have one windows installer for 32bit, one for 64bit.	Nov 26, 2009 10:07 AM
3	However, I just tried the Windows installer and recognized that one has to change the path variable and the class path variable of the system manually afterwards to make it run. To this end, one has to have Administrator rights (re-login in XP). I'd suggest to change these variables directly during the installation.	Nov 30, 2009 7:02 AM
4	As long as libSBML still supports/uses Expat (or Xerces), we don't care if the Windows installer for libSBML was provided only with libxml2 as the underlying parser, since we don't use the installer [Virtual Cell bundles the libSBML dlls with its libraries, so we don't use the Windows installer for libSBML (since we want more control over the libraries)].	Dec 2, 2009 6:50 PM